

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,578	08/18/2003	Nicholas Leventis	2416.007US1	3949	
	21186 7590 09/21/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
, ·			COONEY, JOHN M		
MINNEAPOLI	.S, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1711			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/643,578	LEVENTIS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		John m. Cooney	1711
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 July</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pr	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-23,25-31,36-64,66,67 and 69-7-4a</u> ) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5,7-22,43-46,50-64</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>23,25-31,36-42,47-49 and 69-71</u> is/arc Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>l,66 and 67</u> is/are withdrawn fror e rejected.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate ity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3 shts</u> .	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date

## Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-5, 7-22, 43-46, 50-64, 66, and 67 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 7/2/07.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II. in the reply filed on 7-2-07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that undue burden is not evident and inventions have been considered previously. This is not found persuasive because undue burden is maintained to be evident and restriction requirement is maintained to be evident based on further considerations and clarification of applicants' invention through amendments and reply.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

This application contains claims 1-5, 7-22, 43-46, 50-64, 66, and 67 which are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 07/02/2007. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 36 is confusing as to intent because it can not be determined what material is being further defined. Claim 25 has at least two components which the language "sol-gel material" could be referring to, and it can not be determined which one is being referred to. Further, if claim 36 is to remain a member of the elected invention, then it needs to be drafted to be further defining/limiting the claim from which it depends, rather than further defining a component of the claim 25 which is one way that the claim as it currently stands could be interpreted as reading.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/643,578

Art Unit: 1711

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 23, 25-31, 36-42, 47-49, 69, 70, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Leventis et al. (Nano Letters document).

Leventis et al. disclose preparations of isocyanate crosslinked aerogels prepared by forming a sol-gel material as defined by applicants' claims, solvent to form a wet-gel film followed by drying through supercritical and sub-critical drying processes as defined by applicants' claims, which read on the products and processes of applicants' claims (see the entire document).

Applicants' arguments and declaration have been considered. However, the rejection is maintained. The submitted declaration is deficient in that it does not sufficiently establish that the article is describing applicants' own work. The declaration does not indicate that applicant(s) are the <u>sole inventors</u> and that the others were merely working under their direction. {see MPEP 715.01(c) (l.) }.

Claims 23, 25-31, 36-42, 47-49, 69, 70, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Novak et al.(Chem Mater. document).

Novak et al. disclose preparations of crosslinked aerogels prepared by forming a sol-gel material as defined by applicants' claims, solvent to form a wet-gel film followed by drying through supercritical and sub-critical drying processes as defined by

Art Unit: 1711

applicants' claims, which read on the products and processes of applicants' claims (see the entire document).

Claims 23, 25-31, 36-42, 47-49, 69, 70, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yim et al. (Korean J. Chem. Eng. document).

Yim et al. disclose preparations of polyisocyanate crosslinked aerogels prepared by forming a sol-gel material as defined by applicants' claims, solvent to form a wet-gel film followed by drying through supercritical and sub-critical drying processes as defined by applicants' claims, which read on the products and processes of applicants' claims (see the entire document).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/643,578 Page 6

Art Unit: 1711

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

GOUP /TOO